

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/681,472	10/08/2003	Craig A. Urman	FLAPA.001CP1	7873	
20995 KNOBBE MA	7590 11/08/200° RTENS OLSON & BE		EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN STREET			HYLTON, ROBIN ANNETTE		
FOURTEENTI IRVINE, CA 9			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3781		
		·			
•			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/08/2007	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/681,472	URMAN ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	T
	Robin A. Hylton	3781	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	,	1	dress
THE REPLY FILED 25 October 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS			., 000
1.  ☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or			andonment of
this application, applicant must timely file one of the folkong places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a National Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:  a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing day.	owing replies: (1) an amendment, af lotice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in nce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this		n in the final rejection, wh	nichever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	ng date of the final reject	tion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) o TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of eunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lat may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(NOTICE OF APPEAL	extension and the corresponding amount e shortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The apprope ginally set in the final Off	riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in confiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extantial a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.</li> </ol>	tension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of the	hs of the date of he appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection  (a) They raise new issues that would require further of  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in b	consideration and/or search (see NC low);	OTE below);	
appeal; and/or			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling		ejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1			(DTOL 224)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amenoment	(PTOL-324).
<ul> <li>5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(</li> <li>6. ☒ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>22-30</u> would be a</li> </ul>		timely filed amendmo	ent canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	anovable ir dabrinited ir a coparate,	timoly mod amondm	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed amendment(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed: 33 and 36-42.  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:		ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>20-32 and 43-5</u> .	<u>3</u> .		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, I because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	and sufficient reasons why the affida	avit or other evidence	is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.  10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An avalence of the control o	o overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appears over and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanat REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ION OF THE STATUS OF THE CIAIMS AREF	entry is below or attac	AIGU.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claims are directed toward a holder representing a non-elected invention (see the final rejection).